Clinical and Pathological Features of Ulcerative Colitis in Patients with and without *Clostridium Difficile* Infection; An Observational Study
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INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic mucosal disease that usually involves the rectum in almost all of the patients and can extend proximally to sigmoid, transverse and ascending colon, and even in to the end of terminal ileum. This

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND

A dramatic rise in the rate of *clostridium difficile* infection (CDI) in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) has been reported in recent years.

METHODS

In this observational case control study, 65 patients ulcerative colitis (UC) with flare up were includ
- ed and were divided into two groups of UC + CDI as case group and UC without CDI as control group.

RESULTS

35 patients who had positive test for *clostridium difficile* were assigned to the case group. The control group consisted of 30 patients with negative test for *clostridium difficile*. Pancolitis was seen in the cases more statistically significant than the controls and proctitis was seen more among the controls than the cases (p = 0.001). The cases were on immunosuppressive (p = 0.001) and antibiotic (p = 0.02) therapy more than the controls. Colonoscopic findings revealed more severe and extensive inflammation among the cases versus milder inflammation among the controls, but these differences were not statistically significant (p = 0.2). Colectomy was seen in 10% of controls and none of the cases and this difference was statistically significant (p value = 0.05). More fecal calprotectin were seen among the cases than the controls and this difference was statistically significant (p < 0.05).

CONCLUSION

This study showed more *clostridium difficile* infection among the patients on antibiotic or immunosuppressive therapy. Pathological investigation revealed more severe and extensive inflammation among the cases than the controls. Cases had clinically more severe signs and symptoms with higher mayo scores than the controls. ESR (Erythrocyte sedimentation rate) and fecal calprotectin were higher in patients with positive *clostridium difficile* infection and serum albumin was lower in such patients.

KEYWORDS:

*Clostridium Difficile*, Ulcerative Colitis, Infection

Please cite this paper as:


Copyright © 2019 The Author(s). This work is published by Middle East Journal of Digestive Diseases as an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/). Non-commercial uses of the work are permitted, provided the original work is properly cited.
extension is continuous without skip areas of normal mucosa. About one fifth of patients have total colitis.

The most important symptoms of patients with UC are diarrhea, passage of mucus, passage of bloody mucus, bloody diarrhea, and crampy pain. Rectal urgency, tenesmus, rectal bleeding, and incomplete evacuation feeling are most commonly seen in patients with proctitis. Patients during the disease period have exacerbations and remissions due to treatment or nature of the disease itself.

Histological findings is usually consistent with the endoscopic appearance and clinical signs and symptoms. This disease is limited to mucosa or superficial submucosa except for fulminant disease, which affect deeper layers of the colon. The hallmarks of UC in microscopic view are crypt distortion and basal plasma cells and lymphoid aggregates with focal hemorrhage in lamina propria.1

Clostridium difficile is a gram positive, spore forming, obligately anaerobic bacillus, which is found in the environment and can involve the colonic mucosa that has lost its normal microbiota by means of widespread antimicrobial usage, so clostridium difficile infection (CDI) can be seen during hospital admissions or immediately after discharge. Its diagnosis and treatment is difficult because of its paradoxical nature, which is resulted and treated both by antibiotics.2 The most common manifestation of CDI is diarrhea, which is not grossly bloody and can be soft or watery with sometimes as many as 20 daily bowel movements. The other manifestations are fever, leukocytosis, abdominal pain, ileus, hypoalbuminemia, and even fulminant colitis.3

The diagnosis of CDI is based on the detection of toxin A/B on stool or detection of toxin A/B producing clostridium difficile on stool by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) or culture and pseudomembrane visualization at endoscopy.4 Some CDIs have been reported in outpatient cases without history of antibiotic usage.5 6 Spores of toxigenic clostridium difficile are ingested and colonized in lower intestinal tract. They produce toxin A (enterotoxin) and toxin B (cytotoxin) which can destroy the colonic mucosa and result in pseudomembrane formation and diarrhea.7-12

A dramatic change in the epidemiology of CDI has occurred in recent years. Some studies have shown that the rate of CDI has tripled between 2000 and 2005 in the United States.15 Long term medical therapy, periodic hospital admissions, and even surgery, and chronic use of antibiotics and corticosteroids and immunomodulators have been shown to increase the risk of CDI in patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).13 14 Some studies show no increase of CDI among patients receiving biological agents.15

There is an alarming increase in the morbidity, mortality, need for surgery, and healthcare cost resulting from CDI among patients with IBD. So clostridium difficile is currently an important public health issue for gastroenterologists. The most important point is that how CDI can alter the natural history of UC and Crohn’s disease (CD), which is able to make their course worsen and longer. One of the most important approaches necessary for patients with CD and UC hospitalized for flaire signs and symptoms, is detection of opportunistic agents such as cytomegalovirus and clostridium difficile or progression of the underlying IBD as causative agent of flaire. Previous studies have reported that about 20% of patients admitted for relapsing IBD have positive test for clostridium difficile. However, more studies are required to estimate the incidence of clostridium difficile associated diarrhea (CDAD) in hospitalized patients with CD and UC.15-20 There are some studies that show both clostridium difficile carriage and CDAD may be seen without previous antibiotic exposure.3

We decided to make a comparison between IBD patients with and without CDI for evaluating risk factors, pathological, and clinical signs and symptoms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This study was an observational case control study conducted on patients referred to our center during 2015-2017. We had the ethical committee approval for our study. Patients with definite colonoscopic and pathological evidence of UC who referred to our center (Imam Khomeini hospital in Tehran) with flare up signs and symptoms of UC entered the study and underwent colonoscopy and biopsy sampling for pathology report and stool analysis for clostridium difficile detection with VIDAS Clostridium Difficile Toxin A&B kit made by French bioiferieux company. 35 patients with CDI positive tests and UC flare up signs and symptoms were considered as case group and 30 patients with UC flare up and negative CDI tests were considered as control group. Patients were...
enrolled in this study after providing a written consent. Statistical analyses were performed. Age, sex, CDI test results, signs and symptoms of the disease, colonoscopy results, pathological results, and history of previous antibiotic or immunosuppressive agent usage were evaluated. Six months follow-up for complications (surgeries like ileal pouch anal anastomosis or colectomy) of the mentioned groups were studied. An experienced pathologist who was blind to CDI test results was involved. The severity of the disease was assessed by mayo score scale.

RESULTS

65 patients with UC who had flare up signs and symptoms were included in the study. 35 patients who had positive C. difficile tests went assigned to the case group, 21 of them (60%) were women and 14 (40%) were men. The mean age was 35 ± 10 years. There were 30 patients in the control group with negative C. difficile tests, 60% of them were women and 40% were men. The mean age was 34 ± 9.5 years.

Comorbidities stratified in each case and control groups. In case group; one patient (2.9%) had anemia, one patient (2.9%) had CMV (Cytomegalovirus) colitis, one patient (2.9%) had diabetes mellitus and hypertension, one patient (2.9%) had HBV (Hepatitis B virus) infection, one patient (2.9%) had PSC (Primary sclerosing cholangitis), one patient (2.9%) had peptic ulcer disease, one patient had depression, three of them (8.6%) had thyroid dysfunction, and the remaining 25 patients did not have any comorbidities. In the control group we had one patient (3.3%) with anemia, one patient (3.3%) with asthma, one patient with (3.3%) auto immune hepatitis (AIH), one patient (3.3%) with arthritis, one patient (3.3%) with IBS, seven patients (23.3%) with other comorbidities, and the remaining 18 patients did not have any comorbidities. 28.6% of the cases and 13.3% of the controls had positive history of clostridium difficile infection. 8.6% of the cases and none of the controls had history of pathologically documented CMV infection.

2.9% of the cases and 3.3% of the controls had history of appendectomy. 2.9% of the cases and none of the controls had history of fistula. Other previous infectious diseases and surgical histories were seen in 8.6% and 5.7% of the controls and none of the cases.

11.2% of the cases and 10% of the controls had positive family history for IBD. 22.9% of the cases and 30% of the controls were smokers. 8.6% of the cases and none of the controls were alcoholics.

53.3% of the controls and 40% of the cases had abdominal pain, 50% of the controls and 51.4% of the cases had diarrhea. 80% of the patients in both case and control groups had bleeding. 26.7% of the controls and 31.4% of the cases had weight loss as the first sign of flare up syndrome resulting to hospital admission.

Left colitis was seen in 2.9% of the cases and 53.3% of the controls. Pancolitis was seen in 65.7% of the cases and none of the controls. Proctitis was seen in none of the cases and 13.3% of the controls. 100% of the cases were on immunosuppressive therapy versus 70% of the controls. 34.3% of the controls and only 10% of the cases were receiving antibiotics (p < 0.05).

2.9% of the controls and 10% of the cases were ANA (Antinuclear antibody) positive. 5.7% of the cases and 6.7% of the controls were ANCA (Anti-neutrophil cytoplasmic antibody) positive.

Colonoscopic findings revealed 5.7% of the cases and 20% of the controls had mild mucosal inflammation. Moderate inflammation was seen in 31.4% of the cases and 30% of the controls. Severe inflammation was seen in 60% of the cases and in 50% of the controls. Yellowish mucosa was seen in 2.9% of the cases and in none of the controls.

Mean Mayo scores among the cases was 8.9 (±2.3) versus 6.3 (±3) among the controls (p = 0.001). Laboratory tests averages are depicted in tables 1 and 2. Demographic data are showed in table 3.
DISCUSSION

In this study, 34.3% of the cases and only 10% of the controls had received antibiotics during the month preceding the episode of flair ($p < 0.05$). This epidemiological difference suggests that intestinal flora change in patients with IBD could result in the spread of *Clostridium difficile*.

Patients with IBD may show a certain degree of epithelial dysfunction by immune stimulation and increased mucosal permeability, which can result in *Clostridium difficile* colonization and proliferation.

All of cases were on immunosuppressive therapy versus 70% of the controls ($p = 0.001$). These results were not consistent with other studies that showed no increased risk of infection among patients receiving anti-TNF drugs. Different previous studies have shown mixed results in relation between corticosteroid therapy and the risk of CDI. Various studies have demonstrated an increased risk with systemic administration of immunomodulators.

No mortality was detected in patients with IBD + CDI, which was an expected result considering the relatively young patients and low comorbidity. Previous history of smoking or alcohol consumption was asked from the population under study which was not statistically different between the cases and controls. None of the cases required colectomy or IPAA that is somehow different from other studies. Because of the small number of patients in this study, more studies should be done to rule out no more mortality and complication in patients with IBD following CDI.

Some authors have shown a higher incidence of CDI in patients with active IBD and it has been suggested that CDI might be responsible in the initial pathogenesis of some epidemics of IBD. Unfortunately, colonoscopy is not a perfect tool to confirm CDI because pseudomembranes appear less frequently in patients with IBD (approximately 9%), especially in the absence of fever. This property may be related to differences in the infection associated with chronic IBD inflammation. In fact, 2.9% of our patients exhibited endoscopic signs of activity together with microbiological detection of CDI.

Pathological investigation revealed more severe and extensive inflammation among cases than controls, which was statistically significant. Proctitis was seen exclusively among the controls. The cases referred to our center had clinically more severe signs and symptoms with higher Mayo scores than controls. The incidence of different first signs and symptoms of cases and controls is depicted in figure 1.

The cases had high calprotectin in their stool exam.

### Table 1: Comparing CBC parameters between the case and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CBC Parameters</th>
<th>WBC (per microliter)</th>
<th>Hb (mg/dl)</th>
<th>MCV (fL)</th>
<th>Plt (per microliter)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>6846.7 ± 1952.6</td>
<td>12.6 ± 2.4</td>
<td>81.7 ± 9.1</td>
<td>313000 ± 87.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-cdiff</td>
<td>7267.4 ± 2243</td>
<td>15.8 ± 4.9</td>
<td>78.5 ± 7.2</td>
<td>354.6 ± 136.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2: Comparing ESR, BUN, Cr, and albumin between case and control groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ESR (mm/h)</th>
<th>BUN (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Cr (mg/dL)</th>
<th>Alb (g/dL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>17.9±11</td>
<td>20.3±8.5</td>
<td>0.83±0.19</td>
<td>3.8±0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-cdiff</td>
<td>33.49±25</td>
<td>20.7±10.5</td>
<td>0.86±0.17</td>
<td>3.09±0.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3: Demographic data of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Mean age (years)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>34 ± 9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UC-cdiff</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>35 ± 10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
and elevated ESR and low albumin in comparison with the control group, which was statistically significant, emphasizing the using simple stool exam and ESR or albumin as the first laboratory test in patients with flare. These results are somehow different from other studies that show that coinfection of *Clostridium difficile* and IBD are not predictable by ESR or stools exam.

In contrast, serum CRP levels were not significantly different between the cases and controls.

The limitation of our study was low sample size. This study did not include the available challenges for treatment of IBD patients with CDI. But in summary most of the cases were resistant to classic treatments and needed more modern techniques of CDI treatment such as fecal transplantation. Overall, we recommend clinicians to consider CDI with every flare of symptoms in patients with IBD. Initial diagnosis, proper treatment, and prevention of CDI in patients with IBD can improve outcomes and preserve the quality of life in such patients.
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